

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name:

Land Use:

Priority RC 4:

Local Ranking Question(s):*

FY 2017		
Number	Question	Points
1	Does the application include practices to enhance rangeland that currently has undesirable plant health and vigor?	150
2	Does the application include prescribed grazing?	50
3	Is the land unit in coordinated resource management area and is the applicant participating in the CRMA or is the application part of a group of applications adjacent to another, intended to have the most impact in the same area?	20
4	Does application include practice that are going to enhance wildlife habitat or provide wildlife corridors?	10
5	Does the application include practices intended for weed control? (answer yes only if there is an identified weed problem that needs addressed)	10
6		
Total:		240

*See Ranking Tab for National and State ranking questions. (Max. Local Points = 550)

Practice List Code	Practice List	Hold-Down [\$]	Top 3 Practice Lists
614	Watering Facility (614)	\$50,000	1
382	Fence (382)	\$50,000	2
516	Pipeline (516)	\$50,000	1
528	Prescribed Grazing (528)	\$3,333	3
314	Brush Management (314)	\$50,000	
315	Herbaceous Weed Control (315)	\$25,000	
472	Access Control (472)	\$50,000	
550	Range Planting (550)	\$50,000	
574	Spring Development (574)	\$25,000	
642	Water Well (642)	\$50,000	
351	Water Well Decommissioning (351)	\$5,000	
560	Access Road (560)	\$50,000	
533	Pumping Plant (533)	\$25,000	
561	Heavy Use Area Protection (561)	\$25,000	

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name:

Land Use:

Priority RC 5:

Local Ranking Question(s):*

FY 2017		
Number	Question	Points
1	Enter difference in points between Tech note 14 benchmark score and planned score	enter actual points
2	Practices will enhance or protect riparian area	100
3		
4		
5		
6		
Total:		100

*See Ranking Tab for National and State ranking questions. (Max. Local Points = 550)

Practice List Code	Practice List	Hold-Down [\$]	Top 3 Practice Lists
382	Fence (382)	\$50,000	
472	Access Control (472)	\$50,000	
614	Watering Facility (614)	\$50,000	
587	Structure for Water Control (587)	\$50,000	
734	Fish and Wildlife Structure (734)	\$10,000	
516	Pipeline (516)	\$50,000	
550	Range Planting (550)	\$50,000	
561	Heavy Use Area Protection (561)	\$10,000	
642	Water Well (642)	\$50,000	
315	Herbaceous Weed Control (315)	\$10,000	
484	Mulching (484)	\$10,000	
643	Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643)	\$3,333	3
612	Tree & Shrub Establishment (612)	\$25,000	1
490	Tree & Shrub Site Preparation (490)	\$10,000	2

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name:

Land Use:

Priority RC 4:

Local Ranking Question(s):*

FY 2017		
Number	Question	Points
1	Does the application include practices to enhance pasture that currently has undesirable plant health and vigor?	150
2	Does the application include prescribed grazing?	50
3	Is the applicant going to enhance wildlife habitat or provide wildlife corridors?	10
4	Does the application include practices intended for weed control? (answer yes only if there is an identified weed problem that needs addressed)	10
5		
6		
Total:		220

*See Ranking Tab for National and State ranking questions. (Max. Local Points = 550)

Practice List Code	Practice List	Hold-Down [\$]	Top 3 Practice Lists
614	Watering Facility (614)	\$50,000	3
382	Fence (382)	\$50,000	1
516	Pipeline (516)	\$50,000	
528	Prescribed Grazing (528)	\$3,333	2
314	Brush Management (314)	\$50,000	
315	Herbaceous Weed Control (315)	\$25,000	
472	Access Control (472)	\$50,000	
550	Range Planting (550)	\$50,000	
574	Spring Development (574)	\$25,000	
642	Water Well (642)	\$50,000	
351	Water Well Decommissioning (351)	\$5,000	
560	Access Road (560)	\$50,000	
533	Pumping Plant (533)	\$25,000	
561	Heavy Use Area Protection (561)	\$25,000	
512	Forage and Biomass Planting (512)	\$10,000	

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name:

Land Use:

511	Forage Harvest Management (511)	\$10,000	
548	Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548)	\$10,000	
590	Nutrient Management (590)	\$3,333	

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name: **South Central**

Land Use: **FARMSTEAD**

Priority RC 3: **WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters**

Local Ranking Question(s):*

FY 2017		
Number	Question	Points
1	Is a CNMP in place?	10
2	Will the applicant agree to doing 590?	150
3	Is the land unit in a priority area such as a GWMA or WRIA 37?	20
4	Benchmark condition nitrate leaching index(in web soil survey) and/or P index is high and contracted practices bring it to quality criteria.	30
5		
6		
Total:		210

*See Ranking Tab for National and State ranking questions. (Max. Local Points = 550)

Practice List Code	Practice List	Hold-Down [\$]	Top 3 Practice Lists
590	Nutrient Management (590)	\$3,333	1
592	Feed Management (592)	\$10,000	
360	Waste Facility Closure (360)	\$5,000	
313	Waste Storage Facility (313)	\$75,000	
634	Waste Transfer (634)	\$75,000	
351	Water Well Decommissioning (351)	\$5,000	
472	Access Control (472)	\$50,000	
382	Fence (382)	\$50,000	
561	Heavy Use Area Protection (561)	\$25,000	3
378	Pond (378)	\$10,000	
521A	Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A)	\$50,000	2
521B	Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B)	\$50,000	
521C	Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C)	\$50,000	
521D	Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D)	\$50,000	
642	Water Well (642)	\$50,000	
393	Filter Strip (393)	\$25,000	
516	Pipeline (516)	\$50,000	
533	Pumping Plant (533)	\$25,000	

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name: **South Central**

Land Use: **FARMSTEAD**

558	Roof Runoff Structure (558)	\$25,000	
367	Roofs and Covers (367)	\$25,000	

Priority RC 5: **INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation**

Local Ranking Question(s):*

FY 2017		
Number	Question	Points
1	Enter difference in points between Tech note 14 benchmark score and planned score	enter actual points
2	Number of native species planned to be planted is 3 or more AND includes a shrub or a forb	100
3	Number of native species planned to be planted is 1 or 2 OR 3 or more but does not include a shrub or forb	50
4		
5		
6		
Total:		150

*See Ranking Tab for National and State ranking questions. (Max. Local Points = 550)

Practice List Code	Practice List	Hold-Down [\$]	Top 3 Practice Lists
327	Conservation Cover (327)	\$10,000	
340	Cover Crop (340)	\$25,000	
734	Fish and Wildlife Structure (734)	\$10,000	
315	Herbaceous Weed Control (315)	\$10,000	
484	Mulching (484)	\$10,000	
643	Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643)	\$3,333	3
612	Tree & Shrub Establishment (612)	\$25,000	1
490	Tree & Shrub Site Preparation (490)	\$10,000	2
645	Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645)	\$10,000	
644	Wetland Wildlife Management (644)	\$10,000	
391	Riparian Forest Buffer (391)	\$10,000	
390	Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390)	\$10,000	
587	Structure for Water Control (587)	\$50,000	
657	Wetland Restoration (657)	\$20,000	
659	Wetland Enhancement (659)	\$20,000	

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name:

Land Use:

516	Pipeline (516)	\$50,000	
533	Pumping Plant (533)	\$25,000	
561	Heavy Use Area Protection (561)	\$25,000	
642	Water Well (642)	\$50,000	
614	Watering Facility (614)	\$50,000	

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name:

Land Use:

Priority RC 4:

Local Ranking Question(s):*

FY 2017		
Number	Question	Points
1	Does the application include practices to improve forest plant productivity and health?	150
2	Is the land unit in the commissioners high hazard declaration area?	20
3	Does the applicant currently have a forest management plan or DNR stewardship plan?	20
4	Is the application for reforestation after a burn?	20
5	Does the application include practices specifically intended to improve wildlife habitat?	10
6		
Total:		220
*See Ranking Tab for National and State ranking questions. (Max. Local Points = 550)		

Practice List Code	Practice List	Hold-Down [\$]	Top 3 Practice Lists
666	Forest Stand Improvement (666)	\$25,000	1
383	Fuel Break (383)	\$50,000	
315	Herbaceous Weed Control (315)	\$25,000	
655	Forest Trails and Landings (655)	\$10,000	
314	Brush Management (314)	\$50,000	
660	Tree Pruning (660)	\$50,000	
490	Tree & Shrub Site Preparation (490)	\$10,000	3
612	Tree & Shrub Establishment (612)	\$25,000	2
654	Road / Trail / Landing Closure and Treatment (654)	\$10,000	
391	Riparian Forest Buffer (391)	\$10,000	
484	Mulching (484)	\$25,000	
460	Land Clearing (460)	\$50,000	
326	Clearing and Snagging (326)	\$25,000	
595	Integrated Pest Management (595)	\$3,333	
384	Woody Residue Treatment (384)	\$50,000	3

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name: **South Central**

Land Use: **CROP**

Priority RC 1: **SOIL EROSION - Sheet, rill, and wind erosion**

Local Ranking Question(s):*

FY 2017		
Number	Question	Points
1	Planning unit is currently conventional till and is converting to no till (329) (remember that T must be met using WEPS to be eligible)	150
2	Planning unit is currently conventional till and is converting to high end mulch till (345) (remember that T must be met using WEPS to be eligible)	100
3	Planning unit is currently using mulch till and is converting to no till or high end mulch till (329 or 345) (remember that T must be met using WEPS to be eligible)	50
4	Planning unit is currently using conventional till and is converting to mulch till (basic only) (345) (remember that T must be met using WEPS to be eligible)	20
5	Application for irrigated field reducing wind erosion using cover crop or similar; Or converting from a cropping system to permanent cover (field eroding above T, practices bring it down to below T)	150
6	Fields are adjacent to a state or federal roadway. Objective is to reduce safety hazard on high speed roads.	10
7	Fields are adjacent to a paved county road. Objective is to reduce safety hazard on heavily used roads.	5
8	Have never implemented the same practice being applied for?	10
Total:		495

*See Ranking Tab for National and State ranking questions. (Max. Local Points = 550)

Practice List Code	Practice List	Hold-Down [\$]	Top 3 Practice Lists
329	Residue and Tillage Management - No-Till/ Strip Till/ Direct Seed (329)	\$25,000	2
345	Res. & Tillage Mgt, Mulch-till (345)	\$25,000	1
386	Field Border (386)	\$25,000	
327	Conservation Cover (327)	\$10,000	
328	Conservation Crop Rotation (328)	\$25,000	
342	Critical Area Planting (342)	\$25,000	
585	Stripcropping (585)	\$25,000	
380	Windbreak/Shelterbelt Est. (380)	\$25,000	
650	Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (650)	\$25,000	
315	Herbaceous Weed Control (315)	\$25,000	
603	Herbaceous Wind Barriers (603)	\$25,000	

LOCAL WORKING GROUP FOR FY 2017



LWG Name: **South Central**

Land Use: **CROP**

612	Tree & Shrub Establishment (612)	\$25,000	
490	Tree & Shrub Site Preparation (490)	\$10,000	
484	Mulching (484)	\$25,000	
393	Filter Strip (393)	\$25,000	
340	Cover Crop (340)	\$25,000	
512	Forage and Biomass Planting (512)	\$10,000	
550	Range Planting (550)	\$50,000	3

Priority RC 2: **EXCESS/INSUFFICIENT WATER - Inefficient use of irrigation water**

Local Ranking Question(s):*

FY 2017		
Number	Question	Points
1	Is a surface system being converted to a micro irrigation system to more efficiently manage irrigation water?	150
2	Is a surface system being converted to a non-micro irrigation system with 75% or more efficiency to more efficiently manage irrigation water?	120
3	Is a surface system being converted to a non-micro irrigation system with less than 75% efficiency to more efficiently manage irrigation water?	100
4	IWM will be contracted (only if IWM is needed)	40
5		
6		
Total:		410

*See Ranking Tab for National and State ranking questions. (Max. Local Points = 550)

Practice List Code	Practice List	Hold-Down [\$]	Top 3 Practice Lists
441	Irrigation System, Microirrigation (441)	\$60,000	2
442	Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442)	\$60,000	1
430	Irrigation Pipeline (430)	\$50,000	1
533	Pumping Plant (533)	\$25,000	3
449	Irrigation Water Management (449)	\$3,333	
587	Structure for Water Control (587)	\$50,000	

